Green Steel Economics

U.S. Factsheet

Introduction

The global steel industry accounted for over 7% of global greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and over 11% of global CO_2 emissions. The U.S ranks as the fourth-largest steel producer in the world. The Hydrogen Direct Reduced Iron (H_2-DRI) process utilizing green hydrogen made with renewable/no-carbon electricity promises significant emission reductions and a transition to greener steel production in the sector. The adoption of green H_2-DRI-EAF steelmaking involves financial considerations varying by country, influenced by hydrogen costs and carbon pricing mechanisms. This study assesses the costs of green H_2-DRI-EAF steelmaking compared to traditional Blast Furnace-Basic Oxygen Furnace (BF-BOF) and Natural Gas Direct Reduced Iron-Electric Arc Furnace (NG-DRI-EAF) routes across seven major steel-producing countries.

Green Steel Premium: Impact of Hydrogen Prices and Carbon Prices in the U.S.

In the U.S., at zero carbon price, green H_2-DRI-EAF with H_2 priced at \$1.0/kg stands at \$544 per ton—marginally less expensive than NG-DRI-EAF at \$550 per ton, but slightly more costly than BF-BOF at \$565 per ton. The cost-parity for green H_2-DRI-EAF and BF-BOF happens at \$1.4/kg H_2. With a carbon price of \$15 per ton of CO_2, the cost-parity for green H_2-DRI-EAF and BF-BOF happens at \$1.8/kg H_2. The most dramatic shift occurs at a carbon price of \$50 per ton, where green H_2-DRI-EAF reaches cost parity with BF-BOF at \$2.7/kg H_2.



Figure 1. Levelized Cost of Steel (\$/t crude steel) with varied levelized costs of H_2 at different carbon prices in the U.S. (Source: this study)

Notes: 5% steel scrap is assumed to be used in both BF-BOF and DRI route.

The United States is advancing its position in the green H_2 sector, backed by robust government policies and incentives. A pivotal element of this strategy is the tax incentive under Section 45V of the Inflation Reduction Act, which provides substantial credits for green H_2 production, aiming to reduce costs and stimulate market growth. This is part of a broader initiative that includes significant federal funding and investments in research and development to enhance electrolyzer technologies. These efforts are designed to increase efficiency, decrease production costs, and make green H_2 a viable competitor against traditional energy sources. Additionally, the U.S. government is focusing on expanding the necessary infrastructure for H_2 production, storage, and distribution across various sectors including the industry sector.

<1%

price increase on an average price of passenger car in the U.S.



Impact of Green Steel Premium on Car Prices

The automotive industry accounts for 12% of global steel demand. The additional cost attributed to using green H_2-DRI-EAF steel in passenger vehicles—known as the green premium—is aligned with studies that estimated automotive sector as a likely first mover for green steel procurement and demonstrates minimal impact on overall vehicle pricing. For example, in the U.S., when the price of H_2 is at $5/kg, the green premium for steel produced via green H_2-DRI-EAF, compared to the traditional BF-BOF methods, stands at approximately $226 per ton steel. Assuming on average 0.9 ton of steel used in a passenger car, this translates to an additional cost of about $203 per passenger car, which represents a less than 1% price increase on the average price of passenger car in the U.S. (over $40,000), maintaining affordability and market stability. Future projections suggest that with H_2 costs potentially reducing to $1.4/kg, the green premium could effectively disappear, making green H_2-DRI-EAF steel economically comparable to conventionally produced steel. With the introduction of carbon price/credit, the green premium for H_2-DRI-EAF steel can substantially drop even further.

Impact of Green Steel Premium on Building Construction Cost

The construction industry (building and infrastructure) accounts for 52% of global steel demand. In the context of building construction in the U.S., the economic effect of adopting green steel produced by H_2-DRI-EAF route can be considered minimal when compared to conventional BF-BOF steelmaking route. Using the green H_2-DRI-EAF route, the additional cost of steel at a H_2 price of $5/kg is approximately $226 per ton of steel, translating into an added expense of about $565 for a 50 m^2 residential building unit (assuming 50 kg steel per m^2 used for low to mid-rise residential building). This represents a small fraction of the total cost of a residential building. In addition, with future reductions in H_2 cost or the introduction of carbon pricing, the green premium could diminish or even disappear, making green H_2-DRI-EAF an economically viable alternative for building construction in the U.S..



small added expense of about

$565

for a

50 m^2

residential building unit

<10%

increase in the ship's price for the U.S.



Impact of Green Steel Premium on Shipbuilding Cost

Incorporating green H_2-DRI-EAF steel into shipbuilding shows a small cost increase for shipbuilding. While there are many types of ships in the global market. This study focused on bulk carrier ships which are built in large numbers every year around the world. For example, to build an average 40,000 DWT (Deadweight tonnage) bulk ship, approximately 13,200 tons of steel are needed. If green H_2-DRI-EAF at $5/kg H_2 is used in the U.S. to build this ship, the additional cost would be about $2.98 million per ship in the U.S.. Considering the average cost of a new 40,000 DWT bulk ship is over $30 million, this represents less than 10% increase in the ship's price for the U.S..

The reason for this relatively higher green steel premium as a share of the total cost for shipbuilding compared to cars and buildings is higher share of steel cost in the shipbuilding cost. Over 95% of a ship consists of steel. Anticipated reductions in H_2 costs in the future could nullify this green premium, aligning the costs of green H_2-DRI-EAF steel with those of traditional BF-BOF steelmaking. Moreover, the introduction of carbon pricing could further reduce the green premium costs, enhancing the financial attractiveness of adopting green H_2-DRI-EAF steel in the maritime sector.

Our Recommendations

Financing the transition to H_2-DRI steelmaking requires both public and private investments to mitigate financial risks. Our recommendations for stakeholders include:

Government:

- Enact tax rebates and other incentives for green H_2 production to make it more economically viable.
- Invest in R&D and infrastructure to drive down the costs of green hydrogen production.
- Implement public procurement policies that prioritize green steel in publicly funded projects to boost market demand.

Steel Companies:

- Transition from traditional BF-BOF routes to green H_2-DRI by forming partnerships for a reliable hydrogen supply.
- Engage in industrial-scale pilot projects to demonstrate the feasibility and benefits of green H_2-DRI.
- Secure market demand through long-term supply agreements with major end-use sectors and share the costs of the green premium.

Automotive and Construction Companies:

- Integrate green steel into procurement strategies to stimulate demand and help cover the green premium.
- Enhance market positioning by promoting the climate, environmental, and health benefits of green steel.
- Cater to climate-conscious clients by engaging in green private procurement practices.

Shipbuilding and Shipping Companies:

- Utilize both public and private procurement strategies to boost the adoption of green steel in the industry.
- Establish robust supply chains with green H_2-DRI steel manufacturers to ensure a steady demand for green steel.
- Promote broader industry adoption through government policies and commercial agreements to reduce the green premium.

Suggested citation: Hasanbeigi, Ali; Zuo, Bonnie; Kim, Daseul; Springer, Cecilia; Jackson, Alastair; Heo, Esther Haerim. 2024. Green Steel Economics. Global Efficiency Intelligence, TransitionAsia, Solutions for Our Climate.

Download the full report from https://transitionasia.org/green-steel-economics

Contacts

Ali Hasanbeigi hasanbeigi@globalefficiencyintel.com

Alastair Jackson alastair@transitionasia.org

Daseul Kim daseul.kim@forourclimate.org